WE'RE LOSING MORE TECH JOBS THAN SOCKS JOBS TO CHINA

Some
of the
more
amazin
g
storie
s
about
China'
s
domina

				Changes in Shiffines		Percent change
	2001	2008	2010	2001-10	2008-10	2001-10
LS. domestic exports*	\$18.0	967.2	\$85.7	\$67.8	\$19.6	377.9%
.S. imports for consumption	102.1	317.5	364.0	362.0	26.5	296.7
LS, treade belience	-841	-270.3	-279.3	-194.2	-0.0	230.9
senage annual change in the trade balance				-19.4	-4.0	14.2
I.S. trade-related jobs supported and displi					ousands of Jobs	Percent change
LS. trade-related jobs supported and displi	aced (the	2088	fjobs) 2010	Changes in th	ousands of jobs 2008-19	Percent change 2007-19
CS, demestic experts jobs supported	2001	2088	2010	2001-10	2008-10	2007-10
trade-related jobs supported and displict. denestic experts jobs supported injurisher consumption jobs displaced injurisher consumption jobs deplaced inside deficit net jobs for	2001	2088 528.4	2010	2001-10 485.8	2008-19 129.4	2007-10

tion of manufacturing these days pertain to the cities in China that make most of just one of the world's consumer goods, like socks.

But a new study from the Economic Policy Institute makes it clear we haven't just lost textile jobs to China, we've lost high tech manufacturing jobs too. The study finds, for example, that since China joined the WTO, the outsourcing of tech manufacturing to China has been the biggest driver of our trade deficit with China.

Within manufacturing, rapidly growing imports of computer and electronic parts (including computers, parts, semiconductors, and audio-video equipment) accounted for more than 44% of the \$194 billion increase in the U.S. trade deficit with China between 2001 and 2010. The growth of this deficit contributed to the elimination of 909,400 U.S. jobs in computer and electronic products in this period. Indeed, in 2010, the total U.S. trade deficit with China was \$278.3 billion—\$124.3 billion of which was in computer and electronic parts.

Global trade in advanced technology products—often discussed as a source of comparative advantage for the United States—is instead dominated by China. This broad category of high-end technology products includes the more advanced elements of the computer and electronic parts industry as well as other sectors such as biotechnology, life sciences, aerospace, and nuclear technology. In 2010, the United States had a \$94.2 billion deficit in advanced technology products with China, which was responsible for 34% of the total U.S.-China trade deficit. In contrast, the United States had a \$13.3 billion surplus in ATP with the

rest of the world in 2010.

As a result, those parts of the country where such tech jobs had been concentrated have been inordinately affected.

The trade deficit in the computer and electronic parts industry grew the most, displacing 909,400 jobs—32.6% of all jobs displaced between 2001 and 2010. As a result, the hardest-hit congressional districts were in California, Texas, Oregon, and Massachusetts, where remaining jobs in those industries are concentrated.

[snip]

The three hardest-hit Congressional districts were all located in Silicon Valley in California, including the 15th (Santa Clara County, 39,669 jobs, 12.23% of all jobs in the district), the 14th (Palo Alto and nearby cities, 28,866 jobs, 9.0%), and the 16th (San Jose and other parts of Santa Clara County, 26,478 jobs, 8.72%).

Now, to a great degree, we already knew this. IBM sold its PC division to China in 2004. And whereas stories of abusive conditions for those who make branded goods used to focus on sneakers, they now focus on Apple's products.

But it also ought to be a wake-up call. It took some time for the upheaval caused by NAFTA to thoroughly devastate the Rust Belt and parts of the south. And while CA may be large and diverse enough to recover from the loss of these jobs, in other places (surprisingly, perhaps, NH, which lost the highest percentage of its jobs to China), they're not.

Plus, there's the whole problem of lost capabilities. As this manufacturing goes to China, we lose the symbiotic effect of having people manufacture—say—iPhones down the road from the folks losing designing the new ones. Thus, while in the short term it may be easy for Steve Jobs to churn out new products sending this stuff to China, in the post-Steve Jobs era, particularly with this lost symbiosis, it may be harder to continue to innovate.

But don't worry. I'm sure working class Californians will be just as happy in their service jobs as Michiganders are. Which is to say, not that much.