

# **TWO YEARS TO INDICT TAN NGUYEN**

Remember Tan Nguyen? He's the asshole who, in his race against Loretta Sanchez in 2006, sent out fliers in Spanish to recently registered voters warning that immigrants could be imprisoned if they voted.

He was indicted on October 1 on one charge of obstruction. Two years after he tried to dissuade Latinos from voting.

Now, I'm thrilled he's been indicted.

---

# **DICK'S BIG STICK AND THE DEMOCRATIC ALPHA MALE**

In his rush to find a problem with the manly men Democrats have been electing of late, Ryan Lizza seems to have forgotten a number of long-serving Congressmen.

---

# **REPORTING FACTS VERSUS REPORTING CONFLICT**

---

# **MI FINALLY GETS SOME DCCC LOVE**

---

# **NEVER COUNT ROVE OUT ... BUT**

---

# **POOR JUDGMENT, REPUBLICAN EDITION**

---

# **WHY ARE THEY AFRAID OF A LIVING WAGE, CLEAN AIR, AND FREE SPEECH?**

Well, the Republicans have launched their long-planned attack on the Representatives who will be Committee Chairs when we take back Congress. It will get nastier than this—the WSJ hides, at least, the degree to which this fear campaign depends on race-baiting and gay-baiting. But let's look at some of the things they fear:

Energy and Commerce would return to the untender mercies of John Dingell, the longest-serving Member first elected in 1955, who was

---

# THEY'RE NOT ROBOTS

First Jonathan Chait and now Spencer Ackerman have escaped Marty's grasp reached beyond their New Republic home to oppose Lieberman. Chait's contribution is a logical attack on Joe's justification for his run (if surprising, given the source).

What's the point of running to uphold Democratchawkishness when you're running against the Democratic Party and its chosen nominee?

---

# NEOCON JOE, THE FAILED LEBANESE CAMPAIGN, AND LOSING BY WINNING

This is going to be a bit of a wandering post. But I'm going to cover the following and hopefully finish in enough time to go can peaches: Taking Joe at his word Hersh's portrayal of failure On how the Neocons may become winners out of losing

Taking Joe at His Word

Mark Schmitt asked the other day,

Can someone explain what Senator Lieberman could possibly mean when he says the following:

“I’m worried that too many people,

---

# WHAT WOULD JOE DO FOR \$17,000?

Scout Prime has a really superb post up on this WaPo article, which in turn talks about the big contractors that failed miserably in their Katrina response, but which have just gotten new contracts for further hurricane response. From Scout Prime's post:

Companies that received 4 FEMA Katrina contracts "repeatedly faulted" by the DHS inspector general, congressional auditors and the Senatereceived 4 new FEMA contracts for future hurricane work.