## IS BILL BARR ALREADY FEEDING SIDNEY POWELL SO-CALLED EVIDENCE TRUMP COERCES?

The WaPo confirms what was becoming obvious: The Attorney General of the United States is spending his days flying around the world collecting claims that Trump has coerced from foreign governments. It reports that Barr has already had conversations similar to those Trump seeded with Ukraine with the UK, Italy, and Australia.

Barr has already made overtures to British intelligence officials, and last week the attorney general traveled to Italy, where he and Durham met senior Italian government officials and Barr asked the Italians to assist Durham, according to one person familiar with the matter, who spoke on the condition of anonymity to discuss a sensitive issue. It was not Barr's first trip to Italy to meet intelligence officials, the person said. The Trump administration has made similar requests of Australia, said people who discussed the interactions on the condition of anonymity because they involve an ongoing investigation and sensitive talks between governments.

In a recent phone call, Trump urged Australian Prime Minister Scott Morrison to provide assistance to the ongoing Justice Department inquiry, the people said. Trump made the request at Barr's urging, they said.

I raise all this because of something Sidney Powell said on September 10. At the status hearing for her client, Mike Flynn, she said that they had a letter from the British Embassy that "undoes the whole Steele dossier debacle."

It was an interesting claim for several reasons. Most notably, the only references to Powell's client in the Steele dossier simply repeat public claims about Flynn's paid trip to an RT gala in 2015. That is, it's totally irrelevant to the question of Flynn's guilt on the charges he pled to or even the counterintelligence investigation into her client. Even if *DOJ* had such a record, it'd not be discoverable under Brady.

But Powell seemed to be saying *she* had the letter.

That raises the possibility that Bill Barr is not — as he claims — collecting "evidence" for a John Durham investigation into the start of the Russian investigation, but is instead (or also) collecting evidence he can share with those prosecuted by Mueller to help them undermine their guilty pleas and or convictions (which would raise interesting questions about Roger Stone's focus on Crowdstrike, given that's included in Trump's list of propaganda he wants to extort from foreign countries).

Mind you, Powell could be lying or unclear about this document—she has been caught in both multiple times so far before Emmet Sullivan. But this claim — which was surprising to me at the time — raises real questions about whether Barr is using coerced evidence to undermine his own DOJ.

Update: I think I have the timing of this letter wrong. I think it was sent under Obama, not recently.